APPROVED - 4/28/15

STATE OF MICHIGAN

City of Hamtramck

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Thursday, March 26, 2015

Hamtramck City Hall

Council Chambers - 2nd Floor

3401 Evaline

Hamtramck, Michigan 48212

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEB ROBERTS

CATHY SOUARE

KAREN YOUNG Mark Stema

Absent: Karen Young

Reported by:

Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC SCAO FIRM NO. 08228 101-A North Lewis Street Saline, Michigan 48176 (734) 429-9143/nel

CALL TO ORDER:

| | l l |
|----|--|
| 1 | MS. ROBERTS: It's 1:05, and I'll call the March |
| 2 | 26th, 2015 Hamtramck Receivership Transition Advisory |
| 3 | Board meeting to order. I'll remind the audience, if |
| 4 | there is anyone that would like to speak, that they need |
| 5 | to sign up at the podium. And Mr. Van de Grift, can you |
| 6 | take roll call, please? |
| 7 | MR. VAN de GRIFT: Deborah Roberts. |
| 8 | MS. ROBERTS: Here. |
| 9 | MR. VEN de GRIFT: Cathy Square. |
| 10 | MS. SQUARE: Here. |
| 11 | MR. VAN de GRIFT: Mark Stema. |
| 12 | MR. STEMA: Here. |
| 13 | MR. VAN de GRIFT: Karen Young. Karen Young is |
| 14 | excused, and quorum is present. |
| 15 | MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. I would entertain a |
| 16 | motion to adopt the agenda as presented. |
| 17 | MR. STEMA: Motion. |
| 18 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 19 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 20 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? All in favor say |
| 21 | aye. |
| 22 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 24 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| | 1 |

(No response.) 1 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carried. The first agenda 2 item is the approval of the February 24th, 2015 RTAB 3 meeting minutes. I would entertain a motion to approve 4 the RTAB minutes from the last meeting. 5 So moved. MS. SQUARE: 6 MS. ROBERTS: Second? 7 MR. STEMA: Second. 8 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? 9 (No response) 10 Seeing none, all those in favor MS. ROBERTS: 11 12 say aye. MS. SQUARE: Aye. 13 MR. STEMA: Aye. 14 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. 15 (No response.) 16 Motion carries. Currently we do MS. ROBERTS: 17 not have any old business, so we'll move on to new 18 19 business. The first item under new business is approval of 20 Resolutions and Ordinances for City Council meetings. 21 first resolution is from the regular city council meeting 22 of February 10th, 2015. I will entertain a motion to 23 approve all of the resolutions from the February 10th, 24

2015 city council meeting.

25

| 1 | İ |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. STEMA: Motion. |
| 2 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 3 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 4 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 5 | (No response) |
| 6 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all in favor say aye. |
| 7 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 9 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 10 | (No response) |
| 11 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, motion carried. |
| 12 | Moving on to the second item under new business, |
| 13 | is the resolutions from regular city council meeting of |
| 14 | February 23rd, 2015, and I would entertain a motion to |
| 15 | approve all the resolutions from the February 23rd, 2015 |
| 16 | city council meeting. |
| 17 | MR. STEMA: Motion. |
| 18 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 19 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 20 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 21 | (No response) |
| 22 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all in favor say aye. |
| 23 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 25 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| | |

| 1 | (No response) |
|----------|---|
| 2 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. |
| 3 | The third item under new business is resolutions |
| 4 | from the regular city council meeting of March 3rd, 2015. |
| 5. | . I would entertain a motion to approve the resolutions. |
| 6 | MS. SQUARE: So moved. |
| 7 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 8 | MR. STEMA: Second. |
| 9 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 10 | (No response) |
| 11 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all in favor say aye. |
| 12 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 14 15 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. (No response) |
| 16 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. Fourth item is |
| 17 | the resolutions from a special city council meeting, of |
| 18 | March 9th, 2015. I will entertain a motion to approve all |
| 19 | the resolutions from the March 9th, 2015 city council |
| 20 | meeting. |
| 21 | MR. STEMA: Motion. |
| 22 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 23 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 24 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 25 | (No response) |

| 1 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all in favor say aye. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| . 4 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 5 | (No response) |
| 6 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. |
| . 7 | The fifth item is claims and accounts from the |
| 8 | regular city council meeting draft minutes of March 10th, |
| 9 | 2015. And I would entertain a motion to approve the |
| 10 | claims and accounts from the March 10th, 2015 city council |
| 11 | meeting. |
| 12 | MS. SQUARE: So moved. |
| 13 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 14 | MR. STEMA: Second. |
| 15 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 16 | (No response) |
| 17 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all in favor say |
| 18 | aye. |
| 19 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 21 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 22 | (No response) |
| 23 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. |
| 24 | The next item is the status of the fund balance |
| 25 | policy. The financial emergency manager order adopted by |
| | |

Ms. Square, mandates that an appropriate fund balance policy is to be implemented 90 days after the appointment of this Board.

4 :

18.

That date has recently passed. City

Administrator Powell, could you please give us an update regarding the status of the funds balance policy?

MS. POWELL: Yes ma'am. We actually have looked at a policy, and are tweaking it. We, basically, we're allowed to - it's encouraged through GOA that we have at least 15 percent in our fund balance. We're looking at more along the lines of 20 to 25 percent. The controller and I have set down and discussed that, and we're tweaking the policy that we have, so you will have that at our next meeting.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you.

Our third item on the agenda is a letter from the city administrator, dated February 13th, 2005. 2015
Approval of minutes, we've already addressed that in new business.

The next item is the budget to actual, and I believe that there may be some questions regarding budget to actual, or is that on the five year budget, the next item?

MR. STEMA: I actually just have one quick one, probably for Bhama.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

MR. STEMA: Obviously, the general fund has monies there. All the other funds that we receive, are those all restricted funds, based on grants, then?

Because I see a lot of other funds, and I just, from an educational standpoint, so I know what I'm looking at?

MS. CAIRNS: Yeah. Not all of them are grants.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: The Fund 202 and the Fund 203 are road funds. We get something called Act 51, money which funds road projects.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: Maintaining the roads, et cetera. That's Fund 202 and 203. Moving on to Fund 225, Building Fund, this is the judge, when it comes to serving tickets, he also recovers a little portion of the money towards the building fund.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: And that goes to remodeling of -- to maintaining City Hall and the fire department building.

Moving on to 244, which is the Downtown Development Authority, this is a tax millage.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: Towards -- I think it's 1.8, some mills. Which we get to -- for this particular operation.

Then the 264, which is a 911 emergency. We get money from City of Detroit, and some from State of Michigan, to run the dispatch.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: Emergency dispatch. Then we have 266 and 267, which is drug law enforcement, one is state, one is federal. The federal, we get a direct deposit into our bank account. So we have to keep them separate. And then especially the federal, we have to report back to how we spent it.

MR. STEMA: Okay. Is that restricted just to police department, or can it be used in part of the general fund, too?

MS. CAIRNS: We cannot. It has to -- for drug enforcement only.

MR. STEMA: Okay, that's right, okay.

MS. CAIRNS: And the monies are recovered also from drug raids. Library fund, that's also a millage, and that's for the library operations. It's a nonprofit, as well. Then community development block grant, this is a reimburse ---

MR. STEMA: Yeah, I know that one..

MS. CAIRNS: Reimbursing grant, that's why we're wanting increase our fund balance to 25 percent, because we have to fund it up front.

MR. STEMA: Yeah.

MS. CAIRNS: The last one is -- sorry, the police training fund. This is a very little money we get, about 7000 a year. But if we don't use it within two years, we lose it. So basically, we either -- police training, or they have to go to the gun range. So, this time we have \$6000 worth of ammunition which we had purchased, and that will be -- it will be expensed, so, is what I'm trying to say.

MR. STEMA: All right.

MS. CAIRNS: Then the last one is water and sewer fund. This is a user fee fund. This particular fund imitates like a business fund, like a business operation fund.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: Because this -- the funding of the water and sewer is financed by user fees.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. CAIRNS: So we have to have a rate study, to make sure that it covers our costs.

MR. STEMA: Okay. Great. Thank you.

MS. CAIRNS: You're welcome.

MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to approve the budget to actual report as submitted.

MR. STEMA: Motion.

ì

| | • |
|-----|---|
| 1 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 2 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 3 | MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? |
| 4 | (No response) |
| 5 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor |
| 6 | say aye. |
| 7 · | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 8 - | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 9 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed the same. |
| 10 | (No response) |
| 11 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. The next item on |
| 12 | the agenda is approval of the five year rolling budget |
| 13 | plan. In compliance with the Emergency Manager Square's |
| 14 | final order, a five year budget has been developed and |
| 15 | submitted. I would entertain a motion to approve the five |
| 16 | year budget as submitted. |
| 17 | MS. SQUARE: So moved. |
| 18 | MR. STEMA: I actually have some discussion and |
| 19 | questions before that. |
| 20 | MS. ROBERTS: Okay. |
| 21. | MR. STEMA: Just some general questions, I'm |
| 22 | not exactly an expert any more on fund accounting, it's |
| 23 | been a while, so I have a question. I believe Hamtramck |
| 24 | got an emergency loan from the state? |
| 25 | MR. JABOR: Yes, they did. |
| | |

1 MR. STEMA: Would that be indicated on the five 2 year budget like it was awhile ago? 3 MR. JABOR: In a sense, it is. Previous to what 4 you're seeing there, it came in as revenue, but because 5 there's an offsetting expense, it just came in the one 6 year, so you won't see that revenue show up. So there's like about a \$2 million dollar difference in the revenue 7 8 stream, because of that loan money coming in. In fact, I think I just took one of the invoices up to the 9 10 controller's office for the interest payment on the loan. 11 MR. STEMA: All right. 12 MR. JABOR: That expense is in there. 13 MR. STEMA: So that expense is in there, and how 14 many years before it has to get paid off, just curious; 15 . roughly? 16 MR. ZWOLAK: Ten. 17 MR. JABOR: Is it ten? 18 MS. CAIRNS: Yes. 19 MR. JABOR: Thank you. Okay. I wasn't sure if 20 it was ten or 15, so. So that's all reflected, then --21 MR. STEMA: That's all reflected, then --22 MR. JABOR: That's basically the balance sheet 23 of them, anyway. 24 MR. JABOR: Basically what you're seeing is, we 25 kept revenues flat, both from a real property and personal property point of view?

MR. STEMA: Uh-huh.

MR. JABOR: I think there's about a one percent increase on an annual basis over the five years for revenue sharing, just typically because we've seen something a little greater than that, but we showed that on a revenue side, so what you're really seeing is five years of flat revenue.

I don't anticipate that, but that's a very conservative position, okay?

On the expenditure side, in the general fund, almost everything was held flat, except the area of pension and a couple of other items. Because, not so much that the pension liability is going up, but the methodology that they're going to use to calculate that pension liability is going to make the contribution rate go up.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MR. JABOR: Okay, I just started to review some of the pension stuff this past week with the Segal Group, and there's a lot of areas we're going to work on and come back with some recommendations, both for the city council, as well as this for the -- in the not too distant future.

So that was the big driver of what you see in the increase in the general fund, okay?

Library fund, basically, we held revenue and expenditures pretty flat. They didn't have a huge fund balance, but, we're picking away at it. We need to do some improvements over there and we will work with them through the budget process we're starting.

Both the local road and the major road had expenditures in there because they have fund balances that are huge, because nobody was doing anything. So, there is some -- there's a small amount of capital, and I say small amount of capital; I want to estimate around 101, and maybe 100 in both of them. To just get a couple pieces of equipment, do some part time help to do some of the patching out there. And there's already some projects involved that will actually spend down some of that fund balance, doing road repairs, okay?

Then the last one was water and sewer. a little bit of capital in there, because we really think we could put a couple of 29 hour people on it, and actually make money with this, and knock down that receivable amount from about 800,000 down to something that's a little more reasonable.

Just working them four days a week, on water. and shut offs, so, that's the major elements that were in there, so when you see major roads come down, that's the reason why. We're actually anticipating

14

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

1 2

expenditures; Mark's putting a program together right now that will go in front of city council as well. But we wanted to forecast that within the next five years for you, so.

Any other questions?

MR. STEMA: I do. When I'm looking at the five year budget, and this is just strictly the general fund I'm looking at. When I'm looking, and I'm even projecting out the year six, year seven, based on the way that the deficit is growing, for the last three years especially, it looks like it's about 200,000 a year. I mean, just looking at it, and based on what the fund balance is going to be year six, you're almost at even and it looks like you're going to -- you're right back in receivership.

MR. JABOR: Well, keep in mind, that's without any adjustments --

MR. STEMA: No, and I understand that, okay, it's a projection.

MR. JABOR: And I don't understand -- the city manager and I don't have our arms around what those adjustments are going to look like.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MR. JABOR: But that will have an impact, because that's really the big driver on what's happening with regards to that fund balance reduction and general

Is the pension plan. And the other thing is, is fund. 1 I'm not sure how much of that pension plan, or if it's 2 spread correctly to some of the other accounts, so, we're 3 in the process of reviewing that as well. 4 So you are able looking at long term MR. STEMA: 5. strategies? 6 Absolutely. MR. JABOR: 7 MR. STEMA: Okay. 8 And I'll just make a comment too, MS. SQUARE: 9 Mark. 10 Okay. MR. STEMA: 11 The problem here -- it isn't that MS. SOUARE: 12 the city will be back in receivership in seven years. 13 It's that the city's pension, the city's membership in 14 MERS, is challenged, because more people will be retiring. 15 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 16 MS. SQUARE: So that's why the Segal Group is 17 here, the state has hired the Segal Group to assist the 18 city to come up with some alternatives, which may result 19 in the city not being in MERS. So it's not as easy to 20 say, well, they'll just be back in receivership. 21 Oh, I understand that, but I mean, MR. STEMA: 22 I'm just going based on the projections, it's not looking 23

MS. SQUARE:

great.

24

25

Well, I think that some of the

initiatives we are now, we have an assessor who's actually going to assess property in the city. The city had not been assessed since 1961. So we're going to see some increases there.

I think that we're also going to see increases in income tax collection, because that was another area that we were very lackadaisical in, so, I think that the - it's a five year rolling budget, and what that really means is that the city will have to pay close attention to forecasting, and that budget will be constantly updated. So this is just really a template for the city to move forward, and it's something new and it's a great management tool, and you know, I love rolling budgets.

MR. JABOR: I do, too.

MS. SQUARE: And so I think that this is a great opportunity to forecast and get ahead of things.

MR. STEMA: Nope, I agree with that.

MR. JABOR: And, we're going to go through and make the -- each department have, go through every one of their particular funds, and actually do a budget on their own. You know, with some coaching. So they're going to get a real good handle on what expense and revenue looks like.

I don't believe that's really happened at any formal degree in the past, here, so our goal is to do that

for the '15-'16 budget, and see how that compares to the one that's already approved. And then, they'll have a better handle on how they're going to handle revenue and expenses from this point on.

And you've got a -- some new staff in here as well, and they're anxious to learn that, and take that on. So we're going to see some differences, and keep in mind that we kept the revenue flat, basically it's at zero over the five year period, or very little increase.

MR. STEMA: Yeah,

MR. JABOR: The assessor is going to go through -- he thinks there's going to be at least a million dollar increase, and so, activities that he's going to have. You know, granted, it's one time, but it's one time that goes out year after year, so.

Next year, that, like you said, it's a rolling budget. You're going to see that improvement. We think we can easily make back the 200,000, once we get our arms around it, so.

MR. STEMA: Yeah. Okay. Do you do zero based budgeting, then?

MR. JABOR: Yes.

MR. STEMA: Okay. Excellent.

MR. JABOR: Any other questions?

MR. STEMA: No, that's it.

2.

. 8

.12

1 MS. ROBERTS: I think I had a motion, I'm not 2 sure I had a second. 3 MS. SQUARE: Second. 4 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 5 (No response) 6 MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye. 7 MR. STEMA: Aye. 8 MS. SQUARE: Aye. 9 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. 10 (No response) 11 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 12 Okay, next up is approval of Resolution 2015-9, for FDQTD grant funding for infrastructure and public 13 14 safety. Administrator Powell, you requested 15 that this Board review certain resolutions which 16 ordinarily would be outside the review period for this 17 Please briefly summarize the rationale for your meeting. 18 request? MS. POWELL: These three resolutions are grants 19 that we've received from the state, and we are ready to 20 21 move forward on these projects today, if, you know, once 22 you guys approve those. Particularly, our street light 23 program; we are converting normal street lights to LED, 24 which will save us about \$200,000 a year, or, \$22,000 a

25

year?

\$22 000

MS. CAIRNS: Twenty two thousand.

MS. POWELL: Just in our street light fund alone. Also, we are looking to start doing the reappraisal of all the parcels; that kind of goes along with what the assessor's deal. That's huge for us, it's a revenue maker for us as well.

And also, we are looking to the very first resolution, for infrastructure and public safety, we are doing key fob entry into the city hall. Right now everything is done by keys, and we have five million keys and nobody knows what they go to. So, we want to put in a security system so we can track who's coming and going, we can, you know, allow people in during certain hours of the day and not on the weekend, or, you know, so on and so forth.

so these are things that we have to submit a resolution from not only the council, but the RTAB board to the state in order to receive this money, so, that's why it's on your agenda for this meeting.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you. I will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone --

MS. SQUARE: So moved.

MS. ROBERTS: Approve?

MS. SQUARE: So moved.

MS. ROBERTS: Motion to approve? Second?

| 1. | MR. STEMA: Second. |
|------|---|
| 2 | MS. MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye. |
| 3 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 4 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 5 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 6 | (No response) |
| 7 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. |
| 8 | MS, SQUARE: I have a comment, Highland Park |
| 9 · | has the fob, and it's great. |
| 10 | MS. POWELL: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. SQUARE: It's strategically located |
| 12 . | throughout the building, and you don't have to have the |
| 13 | keys, and it's just nice. And so, it's it will be |
| 14 | modernize convenient to modern cars, to get the key fob here. |
| 15 | MS. ROBERTS: The next item up is approval of |
| 16 | Resolution 2015-10, for conversion of MV and HPF street |
| 17 | lights to LED citywide. I would entertain a motion to |
| 18 | approve. |
| 19 | MR. STEMA: Motion. |
| 20 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 21 | MS. SQUARE: Second. |
| 22 | MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? |
| 23 | (No response) |
| 24 | MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye. |
| 25 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| | t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e |

| Į. | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 2 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 3 | (No response) |
| 4 | MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. The next is |
| 5 | Resolution 2015-11, for reappraisal of all parcels and |
| 6 | integration of updated dates into the BS&A system. I |
| 7 | would entertain a motion to approve. |
| 8 | MS. SQUARE: So moved. |
| 9 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 10 | MR. STEMA: Second it. |
| 11 | MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? |
| 12 | (No response) |
| 13 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor |
| 14 15 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 16 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |
| 17 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
| 18 | (No response) |
| 19 | MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, motion carries. It |
| 20 | is time for public comment. |
| 21 | Mr. Van de Grift, do we have public that would |
| 22 | like to comment? |
| 23 | MR. VAN de GRIFT: We do. |
| 24 | MS. ROBERTS: Okay. |
| 25 | MR. VAN de GRIFT: Councilman Zwolak? |
| | |

MR. ZWOLAK: I'll let --

MS. GORDON: Should be ladies first.

MR. ZWOLAK: I was going to say --

MS.GORDON: You've always been a gentleman.

MR. ZWOLAK: Thank you.

MR. VAN de GRIFT: Very good, Ms. Gordon?

MS. GORDON: Ms. Square, and thank you very much for allowing me to speak. My name is Kathy Gordon, I'm a former councilwoman; continue to be a political activist, and I am a business owner and a resident of this city.

I want to thank you for all the diligent work you do to keep us afloat, as a taxpayer, and a large taxpayer, I might add. My issues today are two. I understand where you guys are going. I know I'm probably one of the few councilmembers that really looked into the budget and was kind of informed.

To me, it's one thing to look at budgets and to look at expenditures, and to budget those expenditures. But the problemy has been for the city for many years, as long as I was a councilwoman for six years, was not long-term debt, but daily expenditures.

The thing is, you have all this paperwork, and you can budget and you can do all of that. But if you don't look at day-to-day spending, we're going to end right back here. It's day-to-day spending that hurts us.

It's people's personal projects, their pet projects. We had council people that had pet projects, and those are the projects that go forward. Not community, but pet projects.

.14

We have -- I have a question related to that.

There has been word, and I attended a council meeting last week and I'm going to give you an example. The council -- the city manager, Ms. Powell -- and I'm not faulting her for that; she's new. She has no clue what she's in for.

But putting that lightly, there was a comment made that they were going to do a pacer study. Now, I know it's only \$3,000, somewhere in that neighborhood. But nobody came to anyone and said have we ever done this? Ms. Byrd (phonetic), you know we did them last year. We did.

So, in saying that, if you're doing your homework, why would you repeatedly spend the same money when you just did that a year ago? They want to spray the — they want to try something new, I met with councilmembers, they said we're going to try a new project, we're going to spray the potholes.

We did that. It contaminated the water, it got in the sewer lines; that's why we didn't continue. So, if we keep spending all this money investigating this stuff--

MR. VAN de GRIFT: That is two minutes.

MR. VAN de GRIFT: Yes, ma'am. 2 Okay. And I also want to know 3 MS. GORDON: Oh. where in the TAB board minutes does it allow public 4 comment to be removed from the agenda? There's only one 5 public comment there. I looked; I've been told it's in 6 the TAB board minutes. I don't understand how you guys 7 allow that, to restrict public comment. To everything 8. before anything's discussed. We need to have public 9 comment back at the end of the meeting. It's . 10 transparency, guys. 11 MR. VAN de GRIFT: That concludes public 12 13 comment. MS. ROBERTS: Did the councilman have -- did 14 you? I -- think he had signed up for comment, didn't you? 15 MR. ZWOLAK: Yes. 16 MS. ROBERTS: Oh. Yeah. We went out of order. 17 MR. VAN de GRIFT: Oh, I see. I thought that 18 19 was a waiver. MS. ROBERTS: I don't think he was waiving, he 20 21 was just letting ladies go first. 22 MR. ZWOLAK: I might ask for more than two minutes, though. But in any event, I want to start out 23 with basically, good news, and if you heard today, Wayne 24

1 .

25

MS. GORDON: It's only two minutes?

County unfortunately lost 1,000 residents; 10,000

residents in Wayne County, with the last census. Good news is, is that Hamtramck picked up 25 percent of them, so we've got 2500 new residents in Hamtramck.

We have new households, we have new housing, and we now have still, I think, the youngest median age population in the state of Michigan. Which means we have a lot of children in Hamtramck, okay. So we need those parks, that's for sure, new park renovations. But, in light of that, and one of my concerns as a councilman, and I have brought as a memo, that I'm concerned in terms of the timing of the council and the TAB working together.

One of the items I'm concerned with is that when the council approves something, actions, projects -- then we have to wait for the TAB in order to approve those resolutions. I'm concerned more with the timing, because I'd hate to see things slowed down based upon this particular schedule.

And maybe in the near future we can visit that, but inasmuch as we have so many projects going on, coming up, that we need to do more things more in a quickly, timely basis.

We're competing with other cities, we're competing with counties for resources, and contractors, you name it. So, with all that's going, all the positives going in Hamtramck, I'd like to see us accelerate the

process quicker, so that we can get these things done, rather than wait until midsummer or fall, or whatever the case may be.

And I -- and I know, you can appreciate what I'm saying in that respect, so I would hope to -- and we've also concerned about some of the directives, some of the orders, and I think we've asked what would be the protocol to revisit some of these directives so that we might be able to modify them or change them. So, that's something that we've been addressing also.

So, rather than prolong, you know, this discussion, maybe I'll need two minutes, now, but -- but in any event, that's a concern. We'd like to move forward as quickly as we can, as much as, and as the treasurer said, we do have funding, we do have some money; we need to start spending it and fixing things around here. And give our residents something to talk about.

Thank you.

. 1

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. Time for Board comment; any comment?

I would just like to make one comment. Public comment has been on every agenda. So I'm not sure where someone thinks it may have been removed; it's been on every agenda, and I think this is only our third agenda, but I know I've brought it up each time. So, public

comment is on every meeting.

4 .

MS. GORDON: The problem is, as I see it, they have additions and deletions, that's been added to that agenda. If you add or delete something from that agenda, if you're sitting at home watching a council meeting --

MR. STEMA: Can I ask a quick question? Sorry to interrupt you, do you mean our meetings, or council meetings?

MS. GORDON: No, council meetings.

MS. ROBERTS: Oh, okay, I thought you said RTAB meetings.

MR. STEMA: I thought you were talking about our public meetings, too.

MS. GORDON: No, your public comment is great. But you're watching city council meetings --

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, I thought you said RTAB meetings.

MS. GORDON: No, no, no. And the thing is, I can find nowhere in your rules, and I have a copy of them Mr. Zwolak was nice enough to send me. Nowhere does that address, and give the city manager the power, to redo that agenda. We have a council rules ordinance. Now, are you telling me that elected officials and appointed officials are not held to comply with council ordinances? And city ordinances?

| 1 | MS. POWELL: I'll answer these questions later, |
|----------|--|
| 2 | if you'd like. |
| 3 | MS. GORDON: I mean, I need to know like |
| 4. | MS. ROBERTS: I thought she said the RTAB; I was |
| 5 | addressing that. We do. |
| 6 | MS. GORDON: 'No, no, no. And the thing is, what |
| 7 | about additions and deletions to a council meeting, and |
| 8 | you have a public comment after, why even have a council |
| 9 | meeting? Might as well meet privately and do what the |
| 10 | hell you want. Because we don't even have a chance to |
| 11 | respond to that. |
| 12 | Thank you. |
| 13 | MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. Okay. Is there any |
| 14 15 | further business before the RTAB? (No response) |
| 16 | MS. ROBERTS: Okay, I would entertain a motion |
| 17 | to adjourn. |
| 18 | MS. SQUARE: So moved. |
| 19 | MS. ROBERTS: Second? |
| 20 | MR. STEMA: Second. |
| 21 | MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? |
| 22 | (No response) |
| 23 | MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor say aye. |
| 24 | MR. STEMA: Aye. |
| 25 | MS. SQUARE: Aye. |

| 1 | MS. ROBERTS: Aye. Opposed, the same. |
|--|---|
| · 2 | (No response) |
| 3 | MS. ROBERTS: The meeting is adjourned, and it |
| 4 | is approximately 1:35. Thank you, everyone. |
| 5 | (At 1:35 p.m. meeting adjourned.) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | • |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | · |
| 10 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| | |
| 14 | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: March 30, 2015 |
| 14 15 | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: March 30, 2015 |
| 14 15 16 | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: March 30, 2015 s/Mina Lunsford |
| 14 15 16 17 | |
| 14 15 16 17 | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | s/ <u>Nina Lunsford</u> |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | s/Mina Lunsford . Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | s/ <u>Mina Lunsford</u> Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Certified Electronic Reporter |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | s/ <u>Mina Lunsford</u> Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Certified Electronic Reporter Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | s/Mina Lunsford Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Certified Electronic Reporter Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC 101-A North Lewis Street |